

Response Totals				Do you agree with the restrictions proposed with your road.			
Road Name	Props	Resp	% Response rate	Yes	%	No	%
Albert Place	5	0	0.0%	0	#DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0!
Aldock	11	2	18.2%	0	0%	2	100%
Archers Ride	38	3	7.9%	0	0%	3	100%
Autumn Grove	36	1	2.8%	1	100%	0	0%
Beehive Green	35	4	11.4%	2	50%	2	50%
Beehive Lane	71	6	8.5%	2	33%	4	67%
Birds Close	42	4	9.5%	0	0%	4	100%
Boxfield	25	2	8.0%	0	0%	2	100%
Caponfield	40	0	0.0%	0	#DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0!
Chapelfield Close	5	0	0.0%	0	#DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0!
Cole Green Lane	25	2	8.0%	0	0%	2	100%
Cotters Croft	5	0	0.0%	0	#DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0!
Dayemead	18	2	11.1%	1	50%	1	50%
Desborough Close	12	1	8.3%	1	100%	0	0%
Duchess Court	55	1	1.8%	1	100%	0	0%
Dukes Court	10	0	0.0%	0	#DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0!
East Mead	24	5	20.8%	1	20%	4	80%
Graysfield	11	0	0.0%	0	#DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0!
Hall Grove	44	2	4.5%	2	100%	0	0%
Henry Close	5	0	0.0%	0	#DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0!
Hillside	20	1	5.0%	0	0%	1	100%
Howell Hyde Lane	2	0	0.0%	0	#DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0!
Homestead Court	45	0	0.0%	0	#DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0!
Homestead Lane	9	1	11.1%	0	0%	1	100%
Howicks Green	60	8	13.3%	3	38%	5	63%
Howlands	468	43	9.2%	15	35%	28	65%
Little Lake	19	1	5.3%	1	100%	0	0%
Little Thistle	42	2	4.8%	1	50%	1	50%
Marley Road	54	3	5.6%	3	100%	0	0%
Moorend	16	3	18.8%	1	33%	2	67%
Moorlands	63	5	7.9%	3	60%	2	40%
Moorside	16	2	12.5%	0	0%	2	100%
Princes Avenue	32	1	3.1%	0	0%	1	100%
Queens Avenue	58	1	1.7%	0	0%	1	100%
Sheepcote	28	2	7.1%	2	100%	0	0%
The Commons	97	7	7.2%	4	57%	3	43%
The Jinnings	14	1	7.1%	0	0%	1	100%
The Limes	8	2	25.0%	1	50%	1	50%
The Pastures	17	4	23.5%	0	0%	4	100%
Thistle Grove	225	22	9.8%	11	50%	11	50%
Thumbswood	11	3	27.3%	2	67%	1	33%
Victoria Close	9	0	0.0%	0	#DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0!

West Mead	20	0	0.0%	0	#DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0!
William Close	30	2	6.7%	0	0%	2	100%
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>1880</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>7.9%</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>61%</b>

Too narrow for VFPO

All 3 more parking before VFPO (5 initial VFPO Issues)

3 Generally no issues so no change required, 1 need more parking (6 initial VFPO Issues)

3 not enough parking already so no VFPO, 1 VFPO not enforced and need more parking (5 initial VFPO Issues) 1

Too Narrow for VFPO

Both against VFPO, VFPO already installed outside both properties (Howlands North Consultation)

Too narrow for VFPO

No VFPO not enough parking

1 Disagree, no reasoning given

4 More parking before VFPO 1 no real issues reported (10 initial VFPO Issues)

2 from Hollybush South, 23 against VFPO of which 10 specifically only against crossover parking, 4 against DYL'

Too narrow for VFPO

Too narrow for VFPO

*Private Road*

*Private Road*

Too narrow for VFPO

Too narrow for VFPO

7 against VFPO as not enough Parking, 3 Specifically mention crossovers only. (17 initial VFPO Issues)

*Private Road*

No verges between highway and footpath.