

From: DEREK PARR
Subject: Fw: Regulation19 Respresentors
Date: 17 September 2018 at 11:55:20 BST
To: "louise@poservices.co.uk" <louise@poservices.co.uk>
Reply-To: DEREK PARR

On Sunday, 16 September 2018, 17:35, DEREK PARR wrote:

Dear Ms. St. John Howe,

I am responding to your letter of 25 August 2018.

Thank you for informing me on the progress of the Welwyn Hatfield Local Plan (2013 - 2032). As you know, I live in Cuffley. We are in the process of developing the only Neighbourhood Area Plan in the borough.

I have read the Stage 3 Green Belt Review and you have asked me to submit comments to you by Tuesday, 18 September.

I am very impressed by the analysis that was undertaken by LUC. It fully explained the factors and decisions that the Welwyn Hatfield Council must consider in terms that I could understand. I agree with their conclusions.

I have two queries.

There is a need for more housing in the borough. There is an area of land in Cuffley that has, as far as I am aware, not been considered for additional housing. It is a field at the end of Sopers Road. This road is a small industrial park. It accesses Cuffley Hill, east of the station, opposite The Meadway. Therefore, there is already a junction at this point with Cuffley Hill, a heavily used commuter road. As there are functioning businesses in Sopers Road, it must already have access to the sewage system. It lies within the Parish boundaries. It is along the same line of development as The Meadway. Therefore, it would have little impact on the Green Belt land that lies between Goffs Oak and Cuffley. In fact, it may serve to make the boundaries between the two villages stronger.

I offer this suggestion to make my argument for the preservation of the Green Belt that lies south-west of the village between Cuffley and Northaw stronger. I have in my previous letter stated my concerns about

building on this part of the Green Belt. I understand from the Review that building in this area will have a high Harm rating to the Green Belt. I am happy that this was the decision of LUC and I fully agree with it.

My second query concerns the position of the representor. I am not sure of the role that we play in the decision making process. Would you be able to clarify this for me?

Thank you for all the communications that you have sent me to date.

Yours sincerely,

Claire Parr